



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

	Addre	Washington, D.C		AND THADEMARKS
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	DAPPLICANT	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
	· ·			
-		٦	EXAMINER	
ROBERT E. MALM				
16624 PEQUENO PLACE PACIFIC PALISADES, C	·A 60070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOIFIC PHEISHDES, C	M BUL/L			8
		L	MAILED:	0
1	EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUM			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representa				
1) Nohert E. Malm	(3)	···		
07/801,749 12/03/9	1 012 SWAN	V III, G	2	2608 12/11/9
1) <u>Robert E. Malm</u> 07/801,749 12/03/9 2) <u>Glen Swnkk</u> BEIGEL, Date of interview 12/07/92	MICHAEL L			
Date of interview 13/07/92		-		
MULII-MEMORY ELECTRON	IIC IDENIIFICATION	TAG		
ype: 🗹 Telephonic 🔲 Personal (copy is gi	ven to applicant applicant	's representative).		
xhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	Yes I No. If yes, brief descrip	tion:		
2 9109 340-		TILITY YE	S \$585.	00 03/11/9
Agreement  was reached with respect to som				
laims discussed: 4, 7, 15, 19				
n				
dentification of prior art discussed:				
			C. C.	
escription of the general nature of what was agr	eed to if an agreement was reached,	or any other comments	Cm 22	ommer 2
imendment com a	uthorized carcel	ins claim	10-14	and
	,	1	+ 4	1.1.4
issenling card of Cl	Painer 4, 7, 15;	119 Rox	eute the	e alterobility
of attalled Sate Of	ing subject to	posmanen	t disse	lenent
2 Color to Color Color	The state of the s	1-5	10	,, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>
placing the applica	ion in conde	tion for a	llowane	<u>e.                                    </u>
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of	of the amendments, if available, wh	ich the examiner agreed	would render the	e claims allowable must be
tached. Also, where no copy of the amendment	ts which would render the claims allo	owable is available, a sun	mary thereof mu	st be attached.)
nless the paragraphs below have been checked IOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SU st Office action has already been filed, then app	BSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (	e.g., items 1-7 on the	reverse side of this	form). If a response to the
It is not necessary for applicant to provide	a separate record of the substance of	f the interview.		
☐ Since the examiner's interview summary a requirements that may be present in the response requirements of the last Office ac	last Office action, and since the clair	reflects a complete respins are now allowable, the	onse to each of the	e objections, rejections and is considered to fulfill the

Examiner's Signature